UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Wilson Alvarado-Alvarado	Case Number: <u>11-6592M</u>
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on November 28, 2011. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
	FINDINGS OF FACT
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	
	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
·	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant fa Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs he jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
The defendant has no significant contains	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
The defendant has a prior criminal his	ory.
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of the defendant using	ng numerous aliases.
The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as note CC	NCLUSIONS OF LAW
DIRECTIO	ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of the United States or on request of an attorney for the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose APPEALS	ne Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to o Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED this 29th day of November, 2	2011.
:	
	O !! No
	David K. Duncan States Magistrate Judge